

SOLICITATION	Draft RFP FA8108-24-R-B001				
Question/Comment#	Question(s)/Comments	Question/Comment in Reference To:	RFP Section(s)	PWS Section(s)	Government Response
1	If an offeror is currently going through the AS911D certification process and is registered in Oasis as certification pending, would the government accept this as long as a certificate is available prior to award?	AS9100D Certification	4.3 Factor 1		Current AS9100D certification must be included at the time of proposal submission. Offerors who fail to provide a current certification will be rated as Unacceptable and will be ineligible for award and will not receive further evaluation IAW Section L 4.3 and Section M 2.1.1.
2	If the Performance Work Statement (PWS) provided as Work Samples does not clearly identify specific items identified in the HTRO Scoring Matrix, can the offeror provide another type of identification for the PWS, such as CDRL's?	The Offerors shall submit work samples (contracts/orders) which demonstrate their past technical experience in the required elements listed within the HTRO Scoring Matrix.	4.2.2		Work Samples are intended to include Contracts/Orders and accompanying attachments such as Performance Work Statements/Statements of Work and/or Contract Data Requirements Lists that demonstrate past technical experience. The Government will add verbage to Section L to make this more clear for the final RFP.
3	Will the Government mandate the only required 8210.1 authorized throughout the CFT IDIQ Period of Performance (POP) will be the 8210.1D or will the contractor's be required to comply with any revision and/or change which are incorporated during the CFT IDIQ POP?	Clarification of Technical Reference 8210.1D requirements during IDIQ POP	Attachment 1 - PWS	3.1 Technical References	Contractors will be requied to utilize the most recent version as indicated in the PWS.
4	CDRL A006 due date is as follows: Monthly, 105th calendar day of the month. Could the Government correct the due date typo i.e. 105th calendar day?	Typo Correction	Attachment 1 - PWS	6.2 Required CDRLS	The Government will revis the PWS to correct the error before the final RFP release.
5	Will the Government require a time-phased (milestone) schedule along with a Transition Plan or will the Transition Plan suffice vice two (2) independent documents?	CDRL A007 Task Order Transition Plan states a time-phased (milestone) schedule shall be addressed.	Exhibit A-CDRLS (DRAFT)	6.2 Required CDRLS	The Government confirmst that the information on CDRL is correct and expects the deliverable shall provide items as a time-phased, "milestone" schedule.
6	Will the Government consider adding document revision and/or change reference numbers to all documents during the question, TOS proposal and POP phases to ensure proper document control is ensured and document control of revisions and/or changes is understood by the contractor?	AS9100 Rev. D (7.5.3.2c) Control of Changes (version control)	Attachment 1 - PWS	4.6 Quality	The Goverrment will include revision or change number for documentation. Revision numbers are already utilized for PWS, and will plan for these on other appropriate documents
7	Could the Government review all CDRLs in comparison to the PWS for correct addresses due to conflicting addressee requirements between the two (2) documents?	Conflicting Addressee requirements	Attachment 1 - PWS and Exhibit A - CDRLS (DRAFT)	6.2 Required CDRLs and Exhibit A - CDRLS (DRAFT)	Reviewed PWS and CDRLs for addressee requirements. Several addressees are currently placeholders for final RFP release.
8	When will the Government provide their request for OASIS access rights to the contractor?	OASIS Access Rights	Attachment 1- PWS	4.6.2 AS9100D Compliant Procedures	The Government will provide actual OASIS request upon award for validation of baseline audit.
9	Could the Government clarify "Inherently Governmental Functions" in relation to Inspecting Government Work when requested?	Contractors inspecting Government performed task (any category).	Attachment 1- PWS	2.2 Limitations	The is no reference or indicated requirement for inspecting government work.
10	Could the Government clarify paragraph 5.3 Government Furnished Equipment, Facilities and Services i.e. required office equipment and supplies exactly provided by the Government?	Clarification of Government Furnished Equipment, Facilities and Services/office equipment.	Attachment 1 -PWS	5.3 Government Furnished Equipment, Facilities and Services	Office equipment will be provided as needed for Government purposes.
11	Could the Government specify all Service requirements for 5.10.1.1 Records Management vice referring to AFI's since sister Services (Army, Navy, Marine Corps and Coast Guard) are required to use their respective Applicable Service Guidance for Records Management?	5.10.1.1 Service related Records Management requirements clarification.	Attachment 1 - PWS	5.10.1.1 Records Management	The Government will include verbiage to include other Service requirements for the final RFP release.

12	Could the Government clarify the requirement to develop a locally produced Motor Vehicle Operator's Identification Card however, Site Specific Task Order customers do not recognize this requirement nor do they accept it as a required contractual document, in most cases the contractor is required to develop and use the customers document in accordance with their Applicable Service Guidance which creates twice the administrative requirement?	Site Specific Task Orders do not accept and/or recognize the following requirement: The Contractor shall provide a locally produced Motor Vehicle Operator's Identification Card for all employees that require a motor vehicle license and that have received proper training to operate a motor vehicle. This license shall at a minimum have the following information, Name, Sex, Date of Birth, Height, Weight, Hair Color, Eye Color, Date Issued, Date Expires, Location Issued, Signature Block for Verifying Government Official, License tracking number, List of Vehicle authorized to operate.	Attachment 1 - PWS	5.17 Contractor Vehicle/Operation	The Government will amend the PWS to permit usage of Site Specific Operators card if available for final RFP release.
13	Could the Government define "Preponderance of Time" for Teams Leads due to customers are continually scoring low CPARs for Team Leads involved in required Administrative duties (Quality, Site Management, employee administrative requirements to include being the Lead Inspector based on required manning) in-order-to meet contractual requirements, though not performing Will the contractor be provided a copy of the	Team Leads are defined as "directly billed personal who spend a preponderance of their time performing hands on, maintenance related activities.	Attachment 2 H-Clause (DRAFT)	H-1 Process and Criteria for issuing Task Orders (7c)	The Government has reviewed the requirement and is permitting up to eight (8) hours per week for administrative duties. Changes will be refelcted in the applicable clause before the final RFP release.
14	Quality Assurance Surveillance Plan per Attachment 3 - Ordering Guide "Task Order Requirements Package (2)	Quality Assurance Surveillance Plan	Attachment 3 - Ordering Guide	Task Order Requirements Package (2)	The QASP is not a contractual document. While best practice is to share with the site lead, the QASP is an internal government document indicating how the COR will surveil the task Order. The Government does not intend to release QASPs to offerors.
15	Could the Government clarify the paragraph number in reference to CDRL A004 with regards to references provided?	There are conflicting references regarding CDRL A004 between PWS 6.2 Required CDRLs (4.6.2.1.2 and 6.2.4) and CDRL document reference (16. REMARKS: 1. Block 5: PWS Para 4.6.2.2.1, 6.2.4). There is no paragraph 4.6.2.2.1 in PWS.	Attachment 1 -PWS Exhibit A-CDRLS (DRAFT)	4.6.2.1.2 SOPs – Task Order, 6.2 Required CDRLs and Exhibit A - CDRLS (DRAFT)	The Government will correct typographical error in CDRL from 4.6.2.2.1 to 4.6.2.1.2 for the final RFP release.
16	Could the Government clarify the paragraph number in reference to CDRL A007 with regards to references provided?	There are conflicting references regarding CDRL A007 between PWS 6.2 Required CDRLs (4.1.1 and 6.2.6) and CDRL document reference (16. REMARKS: 1. Block 5: PWS Para 4.2.1, 6.6). Paragraph refers to 4.2.1 Task Order Manning and there is no paragraph 6.6 in PWS.	Attachment 1 -PWS Exhibit A-CDRLS (DRAFT)	4.1.1 Cost Tracking, 6.2 Required CDRLs and Exhibit A - CDRLS (DRAFT)	The Government will correct the typographical errors in CDRL A007 for the final RFP release.
17	Could the Government clarify the requirement for workbook vice provided statement "[LOIs ARE/ARE NOT Required by this Task Order]" in 4.6.3.3?	Workbook vice LOI	Attachment 1 - PWS	4.6.3.3 Workbook/Maintenance Forms	LOIs are a Task Order requirement. The verbiage is correct to indicate if LOIs are required for the specific Task Order. Language is provided to give notice to Contractors of potentila for specific LOI requirements if needed.

ATTACHMENT JL-1
WRITTEN QUESTION FORM
SOLICITATION NO. N62742-19-R-1199

18	Could the Government clarify the paragraph number in reference to CDRL A011 with regards to references provided?	There are conflicting references regarding CDRL A011 between PWS 6.2 Required CDRLs (4.7.1, 5.1.4.1, and 6.2.11) and CDRL document reference (16. REMARKS: 1. Block 5: PWS Para 4.4, 6.11). Paragraph refers to 4.4 Firm-Fixed Price Minimum Team Complement and there is no paragraph 6.11 in PWS.	Attachment 1 -PWS Exhibit A-CDRLS (DRAFT)	4.7.1 Flight Operations Procedures/Ground Operations Procedures, 6.2 Required CDRLs and Exhibit A - CDRLS (DRAFT)	The Government will correct typographical error in CDRL from 6.11 to 6.2.11 for the final RFP release.
19	Could the Government clarify the due date in reference to CDRL A011 with regards to references provided?	There are conflicting due dates regarding CDRL A011 between PWS 6.2 Required CDRLs (4.7.1, and 6.2.11) and CDRL document reference (16. REMARKS: 3. Block 12: The date the procedures are FIRST submitted to the site GGFR/GFR for approval and shall be submitted in such time as to allow for final approval within 1 day of Task Order PoP start (GGFR/GFAR shall have a minimum of 7 calendar days for review).	Attachment 1 -PWS Exhibit A-CDRLS (DRAFT)	4.7.1 Flight Operations Procedures/Ground Operations Procedures, 6.2 Required CDRLs and Exhibit A - CDRLS (DRAFT)	The Government will review and revise as necessary for consistency between CDRLs and PWS for the final RFP release.
20	Could the Government clarify the paragraph number in reference to CDRL A012 with regards to references provided?	There are conflicting references regarding CDRL A012 between PWS 6.2 Required CDRLs (4.2.3 and 6.2.12) and CDRL document reference (16. REMARKS: 1. Block 5: PWS Para 4.2.3, 6.15). There is no paragraph 6.15 in PWS.	Attachment 1 -PWS Exhibit A-CDRLS (DRAFT)	6.2.12 CDRL A012 – Work Management Plan, Seniority Report – Task Order, 6.2 Required CDRLs and Exhibit A - CDRLS (DRAFT)	The Government will correct typographical error in CDRL from 6.15 to 6.2.12 for the final RFP release.
21	Could the Government clarify the paragraph number in reference to CDRL A013 with regards to references provided?	There are conflicting references regarding CDRL A013 between PWS 6.2 Required CDRLs (5.13 and 6.2.13) and CDRL document reference (16. REMARKS: 1. Block 5: PWS Para 5.12, 6.13). Paragraph 5.12 Affirmative Procurement Statement (APS) there is no paragraph 6.13 in PWS.	Attachment 1 -PWS Exhibit A-CDRLS (DRAFT)	5.13 Strike Plan, 6.2 Required CDRLs and Exhibit A - CDRLS (DRAFT)	The Government will correct typographical error in CDRL from 6.13 to 6.2.13 for the final RFP release.
22	Could the Government clarify the paragraph number in reference to CDRL A014 with regards to references provided?	There are conflicting references regarding CDRL A014 between PWS 6.2 Required CDRLs (5.14 and 6.2.14) and CDRL document reference (16. REMARKS: 1. Block 5: PWS Para 5.13, 6.14). Paragraph 5.13 Strike Plan there is no paragraph 6.14 in PWS.	Attachment 1 -PWS Exhibit A-CDRLS (DRAFT)	5.14 Contractor Labor Dispute Plan/Unrepresented Employees, 6.2 Required CDRLs and Exhibit A - CDRLS (DRAFT)	The Government will correct typographical error in CDRL from 6.14 to 6.2.14 for the final RFP release.
23	Could the Government clarify the Data Item number in reference to CDRL A015 with regards to references provided?	There are conflicting Data Item regarding CDRL A015 between PWS 6.2 Required CDRLs (DI-MGMT-81368A) and CDRL document reference (4. AUTHORITY (Data Acquisition Document No.) DI-MGMT-80368A.	Attachment 1 -PWS Exhibit A-CDRLS (DRAFT)	6.2 Required CDRLs and Exhibit A - CDRLS (DRAFT)	The Government will correct typographical error from 81368A to 80368A for the final RFP release
24	Please confirm Contractors are to leave rates/hours blank in Section B.	6.0 Volume IV Contract Documentation	Attachment 4 - Section L	-	Leave rates/hours are to be left blank in Section B. Pricing is not included at the IDIQ basic contract level.
25	Can Task Orders be awarded if Government receives only one offer?	252.215-7008 Only One Offer	Section L, DFARS Clauses Incorporated by Full Text	-	The Government's intent is to have adequate competition. The Government's process for ensuring adequate competition is described in Attachment 3 "Ordering Guide" under 3, "Other Relevant Information".

26	Will absence due to FMLA, Jury Duty, or Military Duty count towards offset? Many of these days are to be paid to the employee.	Section (6)(b)	Attachment 2 H-Clause (DRAFT)	-	The MTC is the manning requirement necessary to ensure mission readiness and mitigate risk. This requirement is determined solely by the Government and is not modified, adjusted, or otherwise informed by the leave status of Contractor employees
27	Will absence due to FMLA, Jury Duty, or Military Duty count towards offset? Many of these days are to be paid to the employee.	Offset Calculation	Attachment 1 PWS	4.4.2	The MTC is the manning requirement necessary to ensure mission readiness and mitigate risk. This requirement is determined solely by the Government and is not modified, adjusted, or otherwise informed by the leave status of Contractor employees
28	Based on the government's calculation of the offset, the government could take back 1992 (2080 Total Hours less 88 Holiday Hours) in a year for a position. The company's proposed price is calculated by extending the billable hourly rate against 1912 hours. If a position happened to be vacant for a full year, the government's offset would be for 1992 hours when the budget is for 1912 hours. Shouldn't the daily offset hours be a derivative of the 1912 hours, for example 159.33 hours per month or 36.77 hours per week?	Inaccurate Offset Calculation	Attachment 1 PWS	4.4.2	The Offset calculation is correct without revision.
29	Please confirm that a Small Business Subcontracting Plan is only required for "other than Small Businesses" (regardless of SB/LB competition pool).	Small Business Subcontracting Plan	Attachment 1 PWS	4.8	The Government confirms that Small Business Subcontracting Plans are only required for other than Small Businesses regardless of competition pool.
			Attachment 1 -PWS Exhibit A-CDRLS (DRAFT)	A002	
			Attachment 4 - Section L	4.4.4 & 6.1	
30	Can the government clarify whether the proposal validity period is 270 or 365 days?	Proposal validity period clarification	RFP Section B states 270 days whereas Section L.2.0.4 states 365 days		The Government confirms that proposal validity date is currently 365 days. Any reference to 270 days will be removed for the final RFP release.
31	Factor 1 states the Prime must have the AS9100D certification; can this requirement be met by an affiliate of the Prime?	AS9100D Certification	Section L, 4.3, Factor 1 - AS9100D Certification; Section M, 2.1.1, STEP 1; Section M, 2.2 Factor 1 - AS9100D Certification		The AS9100D certification must be held by the Prime as stated in the Solicitation.
32	Section L states that Affiliate companies "will be considered" provided that sufficient documentation is included in the proposal. Will the USG allow a Prime offeror to take credit for any scored evaluation element, including AS9100D Certification, contracts, past performance, work samples, clearances, etc. from a parent company, affiliate, division, or subsidiary, as long as there's a meaningful relationship to the Prime offeror?	Affiliate companies; Evaluation Factors	Section L, 5.2.2; Section M, 2.0 Evaluation Factors		The AS9100D certification and evaluation criteria identified as PRIME ONLY are designated as Prime Only as the solicitation states. However, the Government will consider affiliate companies for Work Samples and Evalutation Criteria within the HTRO Matrix that is not considered PRIME ONLY as long as sufficient documentation is included in the proposal as stated in Section L 5.2.2.

ATTACHMENT JL-1
WRITTEN QUESTION FORM
SOLICITATION NO. N62742-19-R-1199

33	The RFP Section L 4.5 Work Samples mentions that “An Offeror may choose to use data from Subcontractor contracts/task orders as part of its five (5) work samples however, achieving maximum points under required elements listed as “Prime Only” require the offeror to have performed in the role of the prime contractor.” Would the Government consider accepting a work sample wherein the offeror acted/performed as a partner in a joint venture?	Work Samples	L4.5		Evaluation criteria identified as PRIME ONLY are designated as Prime Only as the solicitation states. However, the Government will consider affiliate companies for Work Samples and Evaluation Criteria within the HTRO Matrix that is not considered PRIME ONLY as long as sufficient documentation is included in the proposal as stated in Section L 5.2.2. Please note that the current version of Section L is posted to SAM.gov and the required number of work samples has changed. Please refer to paragraph 4.4.2
34	To enhance Small Business participation in the CFT Program, will the Government consider lowering the MTTR scoring threshold below 43,400 points for Small Business Offerors?	HTRO Self-Scoring Matrix	M2.3		The Government will take the Offeror's request into consideration. The MTTR will be finalized before RFP release.
35	Is the Government intending to utilize a “Best Value” approach in the award of CFT individual Task Orders?	Basis of Contract Award	M1.1		As stated in the Ordering Guide, Each Task Order will be awarded to the offeror whose proposal is deemed the best value to the Government based upon an integrated assessment using the evaluation criteria established in the FOPR. The FOPR will establish the selection factors and the order of importance for each Task Order. Task Order award decisions shall evaluate price and quality of service. Quality of service evaluations will consider one or more non-cost evaluation factors such as past performance, compliance with solicitation requirements, management capability, transition planning, and/or mission essential planning.
36	Would the Govt. consider allowing work performed as a subcontractor to count on the HTRO form on Criteria Items 1,4,and 5?	Attachment 6, HTRO Self Scoring Matrix	Section L. 4.5		Evaluation criteria identified as PRIME ONLY are designated as Prime Only as the solicitation states. The Government does not ancitipate a change to the evaluation criteria deemed as Prime Only.
37	Small businesses may not be as diversified as large and do not participate in every space that the HTRO offers for points (eg. Track vehicles maintenance, Radar equipment) . Woud the Govt. consider lowering the MTTR threshold to 26,000 facilitate well established aviation specialty small business concerns?	Attachment 6, HTRO Self Scoring Matrix	Section M. 2.3		The Government will take the Offeror's request into consideration. The MTTR will be finalized before RFP release.
38	The Draft DD254 States FCL at the level of Top Secret. Would the Govt. propose to sponsor Small Businesses that do not currently have an FCL to support adequate small business participation?	DD254 3/8/24	N/A		The example DD254 is only intended to provide offerors and opportunity to review the DD254 that may or may not be included at the FOPR level for task order specific requirements. Facility Level Clearances will be stated for individual task order requirements, if necessary. The Government does not plan to sponsor Small Businesses that do not posess FCL at this time.
39	Recommend the Government revise the first sentence to read: "The Contractor shall have a Quality Management System (QMS) registered to AS 9100D standards at the time of Basic contract award."	<u>Correct Terminology.</u> The Government does not want "compliance to AS9100D, but requires a company to have a QMS "Registered" to AS9100D Standards by an External Auditor. <u>Agreement:</u> Does not agree with Section L, Para 4.3	Attachment 1 and 4	PWS 4.6.1 and L.4.3	The Government will take the recommended change into consideration and revise our requirement before the final RFP release.
40	Recommend the Government revise the first sentence to read: "The Contractor shall have a Quality Management System (QMS) registered to AS 9100D standards at the time of Basic contract award."	<u>Correct Terminology.</u> The Government does not want "compliance to AS9100D, but requires a company to have a QMS "Registered" to AS9100D Standards by an External Auditor. <u>Agreement:</u> Does not agree with Section L, Para 4.3	Attachment 1 and 4	PWS 4.6.2 and L.4.3	The Government will take the recommended change into consideration and revise our requirement before the final RFP release.

ATTACHMENT JL-1
WRITTEN QUESTION FORM
SOLICITATION NO. N62742-19-R-1199

41	Recommend the Government make PWS 4.8 applicable only to Full and Open Contracts/Task Orders	Under the Small Business Pool, a prime contractor must be a Small Business under NAICS Code 336411 to qualify for an award. The Government gets 100% Small Business credit for all task orders issued under the Small Business Suite.	Attachment 1	PWS 4.8	The Government considers the recommendation and will clarify the requirement before the final RFP release.
42	<p>H-1 (7) (b) The definition of Site Supervisors as indirect positions that are not directly billed to the task order is not consistent with PWS Paragraph 4.5 and 4.11, which require and grade Site Supervisor staffing as both IDIQ and task order requirements which are evaluated/scored on the monthly CFT 104 reports. The definition is also not consistent with FAR Part 32.2, Indirect Costs, which defines an Indirect Cost as <u>any cost not directly identified with a single, final cost objective</u> (e.g. Dedicated Task Order Management Requirement), but <u>identified with two or more final cost objectives (e.g. Multiple Contracts/Task Orders) or an intermediate cost objective</u> .</p> <p><u>As CFT requires Site Supervisors to be dedicated to a single task order, they are, as per FAR 31.2, direct costs to the task order.</u> As such, they are part of the MTC and need to be included as a separate line in each CFT Cost Proposal. This will ensure the Government gets the required task</p>	Site Supervisors Classification and Pricing as Direct Task Order Employees.	Attachment 2 and 3	H-1 (7) (b) and Ordering Guide, Page 5, b2	The Government considers the recommendation and will clarify the requirement before the final RFP release.
43	Section L.4.3 does not agree with PWS 4.6.1 and 4.6.2	Section L/PWS agreement.	Attachment 4	L.4.3	Offerors are required to submit a current AS9100D Certification as part of their proposal to be considered for award. The PWS requirements states that Contractors must maintain that certification throughout the life of the contract. Additionally, the PWS states that a contractors QMS is AS9100D compliant at time of award and will be audited post-award.
44	<p>L.4.4.1 and the other paragraphs in L.4.4 provide no guidance with regards to the use of Team Member/Subcontractors experience/performance with regards to the HTRO Self Scoring Matrix. Please clarify the following:</p> <p>(1) Can Team Member/Subcontractor Prime Contractor experience be used to respond to "Prime Contractor" evaluation criteria item number 1 through 7?</p> <p>(2) Can Team Member/Subcontractor Prime Contractor experience be used to respond to evaluation criteria item number 9 through 21?</p>	HTRO Worksheet completion criteria	Attachment 4	L.4.4.1	Evaluation criteria identified as PRIME ONLY are designated as Prime Only as the solicitation states. However, the Government will consider affiliate companies for Work Samples and Evaluation Criteria within the HTRO Matrix that is not considered PRIME ONLY as long as sufficient documentation is included in the proposal as stated in Section L 5.2.2.
45	<p>L.4.4.1 and the other paragraphs in L.4.4 provide no guidance with regards to the use of experience/performance as a subcontractor with regards to the HTRO Self Scoring Matrix. Please clarify the following:</p> <p>(1) Can a prime offeror use experience as a subcontractor to respond to the non-prime contract items 3 and 9 through 21?</p>	HTRO Worksheet completion criteria	Attachment 4	L.4.4.1	The Government confirms that a Prime Offeror may use experience as a subcontractor to respond to Evaluation Criteria NOT designated as PRIME ONLY.

ATTACHMENT JL-1
WRITTEN QUESTION FORM
SOLICITATION NO. N62742-19-R-1199

46	Please clarify which of the following situations concerning the submission of work samples is true: (1) An Offeror can have the number of work samples specified in column C for each of the 21 evaluation criteria up to a total of 69 separate work samples? (2) An Offeror can have a maximum of five work samples? (3) Something else?	Clarification on the total number of work samples allowed.	Attachment 4	L.4.4.2	1. Offerors are to use Column C for the designated number of work samples allowed for each Evaluation Criteria, which could total up to 71.. Example 1:: Evaluation Criteria 7 lists one (1) Work Sample to be submitted to demonstrate maxium score. Example 2: Evaluation Criteria 9 lists five (5) work samples to be submitted to demonstrate maximum score. Offerors may submit up to the number listed in Column C to demonstrate experience and the HTRO Scoring Matrix shall be scored accordingly. Offerors have also been given flexibility to submit a work sample that cover multiple evaluation criteria and would only need to be submitted once, with offeros identifying which criteria are coverd IAW Section L 4.2.2
47	Please clarify what the Government means in the following sentence: "An Offeror may choose to use data from Subcontractor contracts/task orders as part of its work samples elements listed as “Prime Only” require the offeror to have performed in the role of the prime contractor to be eligible to receive points." (1) Should "require" by "requires"? (2) Is the Government saying that to use subcontractor exxperience for areas identified as "Prime only" the subxcontractor must have performed that contract/task order as a prime contractor?	Clarification - senetnce meaning.	Attachment 4	L.4.4.2	The statement will be reviewed and revised before the final RFP is released however, for clarification, offerors choosing to use data from Subcontractor contracts/task orders for Evaluation Criteria listed as PRIME ONLY will not be eligible to receive points. Offerors may utilize Subcontractor contracts/task orders for Evaluation Criteria NOT listed as PRIME ONLY and would be elgible to receive points.
48	Does the Government have a specific format they want to see offeror work samples presented in?	Proposal	Attachment 4	L.4.4.2	While a specific format is not listed, the guidelines shall follow the requirements of Section L.
49	Please clarify how the forth through eighth sentences in Paragraph L.4.4.3 ("The narrative may") apply to the work sample requirement. The references in Section M do not provide clear guidance.	Clarification	Attachment 4	L.4.4.3	This statement will be reviewed and revised before the final RFP is released however, the sentences referenced provide examples of the supporting information that offerors are asked to provide within the Work Sample Narrative. More specifically, Work Sample Narratives should support the requirements of the HTRO Scoring Matrix as well as Factor 3 Past Performance as it relates to Recency, Relevancy, and Performance Quality listed in Section M.
50	Reference Evaluation Criteria Item 5 - Number of SB FTE Transitioned OCONUS. Will the Government consider removing therequirement for "Prime" experience? SBs usually have to sub for OCONUS work and still have to go through all transition requirements (Passports, Visa, etc.).	Clarification.	Attachment 6	Item # 5	The Government intends to leave the Prime requirement. SB have performed as Prime for OCONUS work, this evaluation criteria is to demonstrate awareness and experience performing all OCONUS requirements.
51	L. 2.3.1 requires text size to be no less than Arial 12 and page spacing to be 1.5 lines. In l.2.3.2, exceptions are made to the font size (to no less than Arial 8-pt) but no reference is made to the line spacing. Is it the intent that 1.5 line spacing be for text only and that tables, charts, graphics and figures be exempt from 1.5 line spacing also. Should the Title page, Table of contents, Glossary, List of tables, and any reproduced sections from solicitation templates (letters, ITO Attc 1.3, 1.4, 1.5,1.6, 2.0, 2.2, 3.0) also be exempt?	Line spacing	Section L, 2.3.1 and 2.3.2		The Government will review the requirement and take into consideration the request before the final RFP release.
52	L.2.3.1 requires page spacing to be 1.5 lines. L.2.2.2 limits the Narrative Summary to 5 pages. Would the Government increase the page limit to 10 pages in consideration to the line spacing and font size requireemnts?	Page limit and Line spacing	Section L, 2.3.1 and L.2.2.2		The Government will review the requirement and take into consideration the request before the final RFP release.

ATTACHMENT JL-1
WRITTEN QUESTION FORM
SOLICITATION NO. N62742-19-R-1199

53	L. 2.0.4 specifies a 365 day proposal acceptance period - but L.3.2 specifies 270 days. Please clarify.	Proposal acceptance period	L.2.0.4 and L.3.2		The Government confirms that proposal validity date is currently 365 days. Any references to any other acceptance period will be corrected before final RFP release.
54	L.3.5 Does the Team List contain only the Prime's POCs, or are subcontractor POCs required also?	POCs	L.3.5		Team List is to include the Offeror's Primary Points of Contact
55	The DD254 requires a TS FCL and no safeguarding for classified information. Do all TOs required a TS or is it possible that some of the small business TOs can be accomplished with a Secret FCL? Can a small busines with a Secret FCL submit a proposal while it obtains a TS FCL?	FCL Level	DD254		The TS FCL identified on the DD254, is merely notice that some task orders will require a TS FCL. The majority of task orders are at SECRET or lower. The DD254 Form template did not permit a general response of up to TS.
56	Please explain the intent of what the Government will consider to be "broad discretion" in developing order placement procedures?	DRFP Attachment 2, H-1 Clause	DRFP Attachment 2, H-1 Clause		Broad discretion allows the Government flexibility in developing and issuing competitive FOPRs for individual requirements
57	Please provide examples of the Contracting Officer exercising "broad discretion" in developing appropriate order placement procedures?	DRFP Attachment 2, H-1 Clause	DRFP Attachment 2, H-1 Clause		At the FOPR level, specific ordering procedures includes aspects such as evaluation methodology, allows flexibility in selecting appropriate procedures for each order.
58	Given that CFT Task Orders have consistently and solely awarded on a low price/technically acceptable factor, despite the Government using (intermittently) the phrase "Best Value", what experience does the Government have in properly using FAR 16.505, specifically developing appropriate order placement procedures?	DRFP Attachment 2, H-1 Clause	DRFP Attachment 2, H-1 Clause		Fair Opportunity Ordering Procedures to be utilized at the Task Order level be in line with the requirements of FAR 16.505 CFT LASR requirement. FAR 16.505 allows for broad discrction in developing ordering procedures that suit individual requirements
59	If Task Orders are not awarded based on a low price/technically acceptable factor, will Contractors be given adequate time to develop comprehensive proposals?	DRFP Attachment 2, H-1 Clause	DRFP Attachment 2, H-1 Clause		The length of time the Contractor's have to develop comprehensive proposals will be depend on the requirement.
60	If Task Orders are not awarded based on low price, has the Government considered the length of time (to include a protest period) in which Task Orders will be awarded, in relation to current Task Orders ending and potential phase-in requirements?	DRFP Attachment 2, H-1 Clause	DRFP Attachment 2, H-1 Clause		The Government has taken this into consideration.
61	If Task Orders are not awarded based on a low price/technically acceptable factor, will CFT be considered a "rapid-response" solution to the US Military?	DRFP Attachment 2, H-1 Clause	DRFP Attachment 2, H-1 Clause		The CFT program is still considered rapid-response solution however, the CFT program is also adapting to our current and future customers needs by allowing a flexible contract vehicle to suit the needs of a variety of different requirements
62	Will the USG DoD Customers have input into how their Programs will be solicited?	DRFP Attachment 2, H-1 Clause	DRFP Attachment 2, H-1 Clause		Acquisition planning is considered by all Government parties.
63	If the MTC on Day 1 is a concern of the Government, will the Government consider utilizing a T&M contract?	DRFP Attachment 2, H Clause (6)(b)	DRFP Attachment 2, H Clause (6)(b)		Requirements will be stated at the task order level and the CFT LASR contract vehicle has T&M CLINs for suitable requirements.
64	If Contractor employees required to be "on call" for specific periods of time, is the Government prepared to pay the required stipend that these employees are entitled to do for waiting for potential work to be performed on any given day?	DRFP Attachment 2, H Clause (6)(c)	DRFP Attachment 2, H Clause (6)(c)		The Government's MTC requirement takes into account the need for mission readiness.
65	If Contractor employees required to be "on call" for specific periods of time, will there by an area in the pricing sheet for Offerors to bid the required stipend for employees to be on call?	DRFP Attachment 2, H-1 Clause (6)(c)	DRFP Attachment 2, H-1 Clause (6)(c)		The Government's MTC requirement takes into account the need for mission readiness.

ATTACHMENT JL-1
WRITTEN QUESTION FORM
SOLICITATION NO. N62742-19-R-1199

66	Can the Government give a proper example of a "modified definition of fully manned"?	DRFP Attachment 2, H-1 Clause (6)(c)	DRFP Attachment 2, H-1 Clause (6)(c)		As per Attachment 2, H-1 Clause (6)(c), this may include but is not limited to modified vacancy standards, modified or additional personnel availability standards by individual skill set or team, and on-call or response time requirements.
67	If the Government considers an "offset payment" in relation to the MTC, why is it not utilizing a T&M contract, which would alleviate the administrative burden on both the Contractor and Government of managing a FFP contract while treating it like a	DRFP Attachment 2, H-1 Clause (6)(d)	DRFP Attachment 2, H-1 Clause (6)(d)		The Government is solely responsible for determining its requirement and the appropriate contract vehicle to meet the requirement.
68	How will factors outside of the Contractor's control be considered when an "offset payment" is requested?	DRFP Attachment 2, H-1 Clause (6)(d)	DRFP Attachment 2, H-1 Clause (6)(d)		As with any aspect of contract performance, if the Contractor feels that there are mitigating circumstances to their failure to perform, they can ask for adjustment through the REA process.
69	What are the "other remedies" for failure to perform task order assigned work?	DRFP Attachment 2, H-1 Clause (6)(d)	DRFP Attachment 2, H-1 Clause (6)(d)		Any and all remedies available to the Government by statute, regulation, and operation of law
70	Absent established NTE rates by labor category at the IDIQ level, how will the Government determine that task order prices are "fair and reasonable"?	DRFP Attachment 2, H-1 Clause (9)	DRFP Attachment 2, H-1 Clause (9)		The Government will use one or a combination of regulatory techniques including, but not limited to adequate competition.
71	Given the number of caveats the Government includes in a pricing sheet, will the Government provide the Labor Category Rate Matrix before the final RFP is released so that Industry can review and ask questions?	DRFP Attachment 2, H-1 Clause (9)	DRFP Attachment 2, H-1 Clause (9)		The Government does not intend to provide a Labor Category Rate Matrix as pricing will not be established at the basic level The Government intends to modify and clarify the language in H-1(9) to be more clear for offerors.
72	What happens if 104s are in protest? How will a 104 be evaluated in terms of awarding a separate task order?	DRFP Attachment 2, H-1 Clause (13)	DRFP Attachment 2, H-1 Clause (13)		The Government intends to only use only 104 that have been reviewed, had a comment period, and have been finalized.
73	If a Contractor has not won a task order (and, therefore, has no 104s), will that give them an advantage or disadvantage over a Contractor that has active work on the new IDIQ with accompanying 104s for said work?	DRFP Attachment 2, H-1 Clause (13)	DRFP Attachment 2, H-1 Clause (13)		Contractors that do not have task order awards and a history of 104's would not be in a state of advantage or disadvantage. The Government does not treat unknown past performance as unacceptable during evaluation.
74	Will all Offerors be made aware of all interchanges communicated during the Task Order solicitation phase?	DRFP Attachment 2, H-1 Clause (13)	DRFP Attachment 2, H-1 Clause (13)		If necessary, Interchanges will be conducted IAW H-1(16) with the stated criteria in the FOPR, ensuring that each Offeror received a fair opportunity to be considered for award.
75	Will all IDIQ holders be made aware of all interchanges communicated during the Task Order solicitation phase?	DRFP Attachment 2, H-1 Clause (16)	DRFP Attachment 2, H-1 Clause (16)		If necessary, Interchanges will be conducted IAW H-1(16) with the stated criteria in the FOPR, ensuring that each Offeror received a fair opportunity to be considered for award.
76	In places where the Government is aware of workload fluctuations, will the Government consider using a T&M CLIN?	DRFP Attachment 2, H-1 Clause (18)	DRFP Attachment 2, H-1 Clause (18)		The Government would use T&M where appropriate based on mission instability or expected workload changes
77	Please provide examples of when the Government will use a T&M CLIN.	DRFP Attachment 2, H-1 Clause (18)	DRFP Attachment 2, H-1 Clause (18)		The Government will utilize T&M CLINS when we deem it necessary for the mission.
78	Will "Not-to-Exceed Rates" be established at the basic contract?	DRFP Attachment 2, H-1 Clause (19)(a)	DRFP Attachment 2, H-1 Clause (19)(a)		Not-To-Exceed rates will not be established at the basic contract. The Government will review/revise our requirement to ensure that is clear.
79	Will the Government provide the Labor Category Rate Matrix Attachment 2 before the final RFP is released?	DRFP Attachment 2, H-1 Clause (19)(a)	DRFP Attachment 2, H-1 Clause (19)(a)		The Government does not intend to provide a Labor Category Rate Matrix as pricing will not be established at the basic level The Government intends to modify and clarify the language in H-1(9) to be more clear for offerors.
80	If a CBA is in place, will Attachment A of the PWS directly correlate to the job classifications within the CBA?	DRFP Attachment 2, H-1 Clause (27)	DRFP Attachment 2, H-1 Clause (27)		The PWS Attachment A job skill classifications are consistent with the Service Contract Labor Standards. Job descriptions for each classification are taken from the latest version of the Service Contract Labor Standards Directory of Occupations, and define the skills and requirements for CFT Task Orders. The Government intends to utilize these descriptions to define the requirements.
81	Please provide a example when overtime/surge will be fixed price.	DRFP Attachment 2, H-5 Clause (5)(4)	DRFP Attachment 2, H-5 Clause (5)(4)		Overtime and Surge pricing arrangments will coincide with the pricing arrangment established at the task order level and will be documented with the FOPR. Example: Task Order is for FFP Labor, therefore any Surge or Overtime will be Firm-Fixed Price as well.
82	Please provide an example of a negotiated item	DRFP Attachment 2, H-5 Clause (a)(3)	DRFP Attachment 2, H-5 Clause (a)(3)		Negotiated Items are refer to requirements not originally known at the award of the Task Order that would negotiated during contract performance suchas, Over & Above requirements.

ATTACHMENT JL-1
WRITTEN QUESTION FORM
SOLICITATION NO. N62742-19-R-1199

83	How can overtime turn into a firm-fixed-price requirement, if there are already set hours per a contract year for already established workload?	DRFP Attachment 2, H-5 Clause (a)(4)	DRFP Attachment 2, H-5 Clause (a)(4)		Overtime and Surge pricing arrangments will coincide with the pricing arrangment established at the task order level and will be documented with the FOPR. Example: Task Order is for FFP Labor, therefore any Surge or Overtime will be Firm-Fixed Price as well.
84	What does the Government consider "sufficient data" in order to turn hourly overtime to a fixed price?	DRFP Attachment 2, H-5 Clause (a)(4)	DRFP Attachment 2, H-5 Clause (a)(4)		The Government considers "sufficient data" when the requirement becomes predictively identifiable and quantifiable.
85	Will the Government include such labor category examples provided in H-5 (a)(5) in a Task Order pricing sheet? How will this labor be otherwise accounted for, as they are in direct support of the Program?	DRFP Attachment 2, H-5 Clause (a)(5)	DRFP Attachment 2, H-5 Clause (a)(5)		The labor categories indicated are administrative functions and not direct labor to for mission accomplishment.
86	Rather than reserving the right to increase or decrease the MTC by 25%, will the Government consider using a T&M contract to allow flexibility and alleviate the administrative burden of managing a FFP like a T&M?	DRFP Attachment 2, H-10 Clause (a)	DRFP Attachment 2, H-10 Clause (a)		No, the Government will not utilize a T&M contract.
87	Is there a limit to which the Government may use H-10 during the life of a Task Order?	DRFP Attachment 2, H-10	DRFP Attachment 2, H-10		No, as long as the increase or decrease does not exceed 25% over the life of the task order.
88	If H-10 is exercised, will the Contractor be entitled to transition costs, which tie directly to increased recruiting, potential layoffs, etc., for the newly exercised period of performance?	DRFP Attachment 2, H-10 (b)	DRFP Attachment 2, H-10 (b)		No, the Contractor will not be entitled to transition costs if H-10 is exercised.
89	The 25% threshold is similar to a T&M surge environment, which requires additional pricing, as it is considered a scope change. As this is FFP, will the Government consider using a smaller percentage so that H-10 is not considered a scope change?	DRFP Attachment 2, H-10	DRFP Attachment 2, H-10		The exercise of a Government right detailed in the contract is in scope.
90	Will the Government update the language in H-10(c) to acknowledge that there may be NWD <u>or</u> CBA pricing at the time of the MTC increase?	DRFP Attachment 2, H-10(c)	DRFP Attachment 2, H-10(c)		The Government will take this recommendation into consideration.
91	Will the Government further clarify its process for Off-Ramping?	DRFP Attachment 2, H-8(a)	DRFP Attachment 2, H-8(a)		The process for Off-Reamping is adequately described in Attachment 2 H-8(a)
92	The Government states that Contractors that are Off-Ramped will have no active task orders and that an Off-Ramp method can include not exercising a Task Order option period. What if a Contractor has multiple Task Orders and is considered "performing" on all but one Task Order? Will the Government not exercise the option on the "non-performing" Task Order as well	DRFP Attachment 2, H-8(a)	DRFP Attachment 2, H-8(a)		Non-performance on a single task order and how the non-performance is handled will be at the descretion of the PCO based on the Government's best interests.
93	What is the definition of "non-performing"	DRFP Attachment 2, H-8(a)	DRFP Attachment 2, H-8(a)		Failure to meet or comply with any requirements as detailed within the contract.
94	Will the Source Selection Authority (SSA) be comprised of non-biased Government personnel that are removed from the current CFT IDIQ?	DRFP Attachment 5, Section M 1.1(e)	DRFP Attachment 5, Section M 1.1(e)		Potential Offerors can refer to the latest versions of DAFI 63-138 and the DoD Source Selection Procedures to determine how the SSA is selected.
95	How is the Source Selection Authority (SSA) created?	DRFP Attachment 5, Section M 1.1(e)	DRFP Attachment 5, Section M 1.1(e)		Potential Offerors can refer to the latest versions of DAFI 63-138 and the DoD Source Selection Procedures to determine how the SSA is selected.

ATTACHMENT JL-1
WRITTEN QUESTION FORM
SOLICITATION NO. N62742-19-R-1199

96	What is the Government's rationale for excluding past performance in a Draft FPR/FPR?	DRFP Attachment 5, Section M 1.8	DRFP Attachment 5, Section M 1.8		The Government is not excluding past performance from its Draft FFR/FPR evaluation. The Government would like to provide the following explanation regarding the Past Performance evaluation process. During the source selection process, the Government will be collecting information from various data sources (i.e. information provided as part of an original proposal, Past Performance Information Forms, Questionnaires, CPARS and other sources as needed) to utilize in its evaluation for an offeror's demonstrated past performance record. After its initial evaluation, the Government may continue to collect data, either through the EN process or by other data sources, as needed. All of the data collected will accumulate and will carry over into each phase of source selection (initial, discussions, and ultimately the final evaluation). The Government does not consider the collection of this data/information in regard to Factor 3 Past Performance to be considered formal proposal revisions that would need to be incorporated into an updated volume as part of an Offeror's Draft FPR/FPR response. This explanation mirrors the Government's intent in Section M, paragraph 1.8 which states "Note: Offeror responses to ENs for Volume II (Past Performance) shall not be included in the Draft FPR and/or FPR. Offeror responses to Past Performance ENs during discussions will automatically be considered in the final evaluation."In the event the Government issues a Draft FPR/FPR, the Government's Draft FPR and FPR evaluations for Factor 3 Past Performance will consider all information presented by an Offeror throughout the entire evaluation process, such as past performance information submitted as part of an original proposal, any responses to Past Performance ENs, as well as additional data gathered from outside sources. Section M, paragraph 1.8 states "The Request for FPR letter will include specific instructions on how Offerors will submit FPRs. The Government also reserves the right to request Draft FPRs during discussions." If the Government requests Draft FPRs during discussions the Draft FPR will also include specific instructions on how offerors will submit Draft FPRs. For example, the Draft FPR/FPR instructions may
97	What is the Government's rationale for excluding an invidual on PTO/sick leave/FMLA/temporary military duty from the MTC?	DRFP Attachment 1	DRFP Attachment 1	PWS 4.4.1	The Government has determined that the stated MTC is the minimum required manning and no downward deviation will meet mission readiness and risk mitigation needs.
98	Is the Contractor expected to have employees on "stand-by" to account for an invidual being sick on any given day?	DRFP Attachment 1	DRFP Attachment 1	PWS 4.4.1	The MTC is the minimum required manning required by this contract. How the Contractor meets the MTC requirement consistently is a business decision.
99	Is this a Performanced-Based acquisition or labor augmentation?	DRFP Attachment 1	DRFP Attachment 1	PWS 4.10	This is a performance-based contract that includes labor augmentation.
100	If this is a labor augmentation contract, why does the Government need to/why is the Government allowed to observe Contractor personnel for task familiarization purposes?	DRFP Attachment 1	DRFP Attachment 1	PWS 5.8.1	The Government observation is merely to watch performance of how others perform functions that may be unfamiliar. Any observation is on a non-interference basis.
101	What is the purpose of the Government requesting an individual's CAC ID?	DRFP, CDRL A017	DRFP, CDRL A017	PWS 5.11	The Govenment required the CAC number to ensure the proper personnel are identified on the ME roster.
102	If the Government is concerned about validating real people, then why aren't they considering a T&M contract for this work?	DRFP, CDRL A017	DRFP, CDRL A017	PWS 5.11	The Government will not utilize a T&M contract.
103	How is surge different than the 25% increase/decrease in the (Tinker AFB-created vs. established in the DFARS) H clause?	DRFP Q&A Government Response, Question 88	DRFP Q&A Government Response, Question 88		Please refer to the terms and conditions within H-10 and DFARS 232.217-7001.
104	Will Task Orders be ultimately determined on a low price basis?	DRFP Q&A Government Response, Question 92	DRFP Q&A Government Response, Question 92		Best Value for each requirement will be defined task order level within the FOPR.
105	What are the contents of the Labor Category Matrix? Will it have financial information?	DRFP Q&A Government Response, Question 95	DRFP Q&A Government Response, Question 95		The Government does not intend to provide a Labor Category Rate Matrix as pricing will not be established at the basic level The Government intends to modify and clarify the language in H-1(9) to be more clear for offerors.
106	Are the potential additional Task-Order level CDRLS applicable to labor augmentation or performance-based work?	DRFP Q&A Government Response, Question 120	DRFP Q&A Government Response, Question 120		Task Order level CDRLs are developed to support individual task order requirements. Original response adequately addresses the question.
107	The USG has stated that "work is known" which is why they have elected to use FFP, but Clause H-10 clearly states that there are pre-identified work fluctuations. How can the Government rationalize this discrepancy and continue to maintain their FFP ideology?	DRFP Q&A Government Response, Question 172	DRFP Q&A Government Response, Question 172		The Government has selected the contract type best suited to meet the Government Requirement.
108	How is an "offset payment" not a T&M or Labor Hour credited back to the Government?	DRFP Q&A Government Response, Question 172	DRFP Q&A Government Response, Question 172		Offset is calculated based on the FFP rates established at the task order level.

ATTACHMENT JL-1
WRITTEN QUESTION FORM
SOLICITATION NO. N62742-19-R-1199

109	What is the baseline timeframe for a "pre-identified" Unilateral MTC Increase & Decrease? Will it be established during the Task Order	DRFP Q&A Government Response, Question 174	DRFP Q&A Government Response, Question 174		The "Pre-identified" MTC increase or decrease is one already identified within the PWS at time of award, that may be exercised during the option period(s) as stated in the PWS.
110	Why does PWS Section 4.10 have so many Performance Metrics on a labor augmentation contract?	DRFP Q&A Government Response, Question 182	DRFP Q&A Government Response, Question 182		The Govenrment utilizes the various metrics to determine if the labor augmentation is performing at an acceptable level of production
111	If this is a labor augmentation contract, how can there be "other than" augmentation metrics (i.e., performance-based metrics that do not relate to MTC)?	DRFP Q&A Government Response, Question 184	DRFP Q&A Government Response, Question 184		This is a performance-based contract that includes labor augmentation. The Government has selected the metrics best suited to measure all aspects of performance.
112	Will the conflict hierarchy of instructions be as follows: PWS, Base IDIQ, Service Instruction?	DRFP	DRFP		DoD instructions and manuals, Service level Instructions/regulations/manuals, Task Order PWS, Basic PWS.
113	Will the government include "or CBA"?	DRFP Attachment 2, H-1 Clause (11)	DRFP Attachment 2, H-1 Clause (11)		The Government will take this request into consideration before the final RFP release.
114	Section L 2.2 is missing paragraph 2.2.5. Will the Governement insert the missing paragraph or renumber the section?	Paragraph Numbering	Section L 2.2	N/A	Paragraph numbering will be reviewed prior to final RFP release.
115	In order to be complaint with Factor 1 must the Prime have the AS9100D Quality Cetification, or can the prime use an AS9100D certificate from a sister company?	Clarification of Ccompliance to Factor 1 AS9100D Certification	Section L, Table 2.2.2.1. Proposal Organization; 4.2.1 Volume Organization; 4.3 Factor 1 – AS9100D Certification; ITO attachment 2.2 Cross Reference Matrix	4.6 Quality	The AS9100D certification must be held by the Prime as stated in the Solicitation.
116	For # 5 on the HTRO form; Max # of FTEs "transitioned" within 90 days (Prime Only) within last 5 years OCONUS (SMALL BUSINESS); Does the Government consider the FTE's that are on the OCONUS contract that are working OCONUS and stateside part of the count of FTE's?	Section L.1.0, L.4.4; HTRO Self Scoring Matrix	Section L.1.0, L.4.4; Attachment_6_HTRO Self Scoring Matrix		For this scenario, only FTE's performing OCONUS would be included in the "tranisitioned" FTE count.
117	In Section L, it appears that Past Performance Questionnaires (PPQs) are required as part of Volume III, but submitted directly to the Government. Are the PPQs required for every Work Sample of Volume II, or are CPARs sufficient? If there is no CPAR, or the work sample was performed as a subcontractor then a PPQ is required? Section M, 2.4 and 2.4.3 calls out CPARs as part of the Volume III Past Performance evaluation process.	Section L and Section M	Section L, Section 5.2; and Section M, 2.4, 2.4.3		<p>It is the Government's expectation that offerors follow Attachment 1.1 instructions for each work sample provided as part of Volume II Section L paragraphs 4.4.2 and 4.4.3. It is also the Government's expectation that Offerors comply with Section L paragraph 5.2.1.</p> <p>Section M Paragraph 2.4.3 describes the Performance Quality evaluation methodology. Specifically, paragraph 2.4.3 states "Performance Quality consists of an in-depth evaluation of the past performance questionnaire responses, Past Performance Information Retrieval System (PPIRS) information, Contractor Performance Assessment Reports (CPARS), interviews with Government customers and fee determining officials and, if applicable, commercial clients. It may include interviews with DCMA officials or other sources known to the Government."</p> <p>Based on the above, the Government may have one source of information or a combination of sources it could use in its evaluation for Performance Quality. The Government will attempt to locate CPARS on Government provided contracts. As described in Section L 5.2.1, the Government will follow-up with any POC regarding a PPQ, as needed. The Government will provide its best efforts to collect information for its performance quality evaluation, however, Offerors are cautioned that without available CPARS and/or PPQs and/or other viable information from other sources, Offerors may be assigned as having Unknown Performance Quality which is defined as "Unknown performance rating due to lack of sufficient information to assign a rating."</p>
118	Section L says proposal valid for 365 days and 270 days. Which validity period is required. The soliciation Section B also uses the 270 day validity period.	Section L and Draft Solicitation	Section L, 2.0.4 and 3.2; Solicitation, Section B		The Government confirms that proposal validity date is currently 365 days.
119	Is there any sort of requirement for a Prime Offeror's subcontractors to fill out the HTRO as well?	HTRO Self Scoring Matrix			Prime Offeror's subcontractors are not required to self-score utilizing the HTRO Matrix. Only offerors submitting proposals to be considered for the best value award should submit an HTRO Self-Scoring Matrix.

ATTACHMENT JL-1
WRITTEN QUESTION FORM
SOLICITATION NO. N62742-19-R-1199

120	We assume the bullet " <i>Allows SBs option to compete in both pools</i> " means that if a SB wins a seat in the SB Pool, then they may bid in either the SB or LB Pools for all released FOPRs. Is this assumption correct?	Industry Day Slide 18			Small Businesses have the option to submit separate proposals, one for the Small Competition Pool and one for the Full and Open Pool and receive best value awards for both pools. Only Small Businesses receiving best value awards for both pools are eligible to compete in both pools.
121	We assume the bullet " <i>Exception: Work Samples that cover multiple evaluation criteria (See Section L 4.4.2)</i> " means that if more than 1 evaluation criteria is covered by one Work Sample, then there is no page limit on that contract reference. Is this assumption correct?	Industry Day Slide 34			Offerors who submit Work Samples that cover multiple evaluation criteria in the HTRO Self-Scoring Matrix may exceed the page limit requirement in Section L 4.4.3.
122	Will the Government consider allowing submission of CPARs in lieu of PPQs?	Attachment_4_Section_L (DRAFT)	Paragraph 5.2.1		The Government will take this request into consideration before the final RFP release.
123	Please clarify what documentation offerors are to provide for each work sample. Are offerors to provide only the contract's PWS/SOW? All contract documents? Other?	Attachment_4_Section_L (DRAFT)	Paragraph 4.4.2		Work Samples are defined as contracts/orders as stated in Section L 4.4.2, however the Government will clarify the language to include "contracts/orders and accompanying attachments". Accompanying attachments include any documents attached to the contract/order such as a Performance Work Statement, Statement of Work, Contract Data Requirements Lists, etc.
124	As an Alaskan Native Corporation, and in accordance with Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) Subpart 15.305(a) 2(iii), contracting agencies should consider the past performance of an offeror's affiliated companies where the proposal demonstrates that the resources of subsidiaries/affiliates (subsidiary) will affect contract performance, we assume we may consider affiliate past performance as Prime. Is this assumption correct?	Attachment_6_HTROSelfScoringMatrix (Draft)			Offeror's may consider the use of affiliate companies in instances NOT designated as PRIME ONLY. Offeror's utilizing affiliates should refer to paragraph 5.3 in Section L for specific information and submission requirements upon RFP release.
125	We assume that, hypothetically, Offerors may have up to 75 Work Samples (the sum of Column C). Is this assumption correct?	Attachment_6_HTROSelfScoringMatrix (Draft)			The Government confirms that offerors may have up to 71 work samples. Please note that their are seperate requirements for each pool that are color coded. Additionally, the Government understands that work samples may cover multiple evaluation criteria. Work samples demonstrating technical experience for multiple required elements in the HTRO Scoring Matrix are only required to be submitted once. Offerors shall identify which evaluation criteria is supported IAW Section L 4.4.2
126	Please explain how the governmnet will validate self scoring.	Section 2.3.2 states "The Government will validate the Offeror's self-scoring based on the work samples and relevant work sample narratives provided in the proposal. The Government's validated HTRO score will be used to determine whether the offeror meets or exceeds the MTTR".	RFP ATTACHMENT 5 – EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD Section 2.3.2	NA	The Government will validate the offeror's self-scoring utilizing the Work Samples and Work Sample Narratives.
127	Section H-3 (b) makes reference to "NTE Rates" but there is no pricing requirement in the solicitation. Please clarify.	Contractor's NTE rates.	H-3 (b)	N/A	Price is not being evaluated. The Government will review and correct the reference before final RFP release.
128	Section L, Attachment 4 Designation Codes do not contain a Designation Code for Teaming Partner, yet Teaming Partner is identified as a business arrangement in H-9 (b) (1). Please clarify.	Teaming Partner Business Arrangement	H-9 (b) (1)	N/A	The Codes listed in Attachment 2.0 of Section L are listed Teaming Partner arrangements and will updated to reflect the correct codes listed in H-9(b)(1).
129	Please clarify what the Government is looking for in PWS 4.6.2.1 - "The Contractor shall ensure the SOP includes the relationship between job descriptions to those skill classifications contained in the proposal/contract."	What the Government means by relationship between "job descriptions" and "skill classifications."	N/A	4.6.2.1	The Government intention is for any company job descriptions that do not match the Skill classifications in Attachment A of the PWS, that the SOP indicates the Offeror will be providing employees that have the correct skill level . The Government will review the requirement and clarify in the PWS before the final RFP release.

ATTACHMENT JL-1
WRITTEN QUESTION FORM
SOLICITATION NO. N62742-19-R-1199

130	PWS 4.8 requires "The Contractor shall submit a Small Business (SB) Participation Report to support their Subcontracting Plan IAW CDRL A002, and PWS paragraph 6.2.2". PWS 6.2.2 states "CDRL A002 not required from Small Business Contractors." Recommend the Government modify Section L, 6.1 to say CDRL A002 is not required from Small	Clarification of requirements for SB Primes to submit CDRL A002	Section L, 6.1	4.8, 6.2.2	The Government will take this request into consideration before the final RFP release.
131	What is meant by the statement "Tab indexing shall be used to identify sections"? The proposal volumes are required to be submitted as electronic files and "tab indexing" is associated with hard copy proposal submissions.	Clarification.	Section L, 2.2.7	N/A	Proposals will be submitted electronically and thus tab indexing is not required. The statement in 2.2.7 will be removed before final RFP release.
132	Please clarify what the Government means by "The Offeror shall only provide relevant pages of documents used to fully validate Offeror Self-Scores"? It appears the Government wants us to include copies of PWS pages, along with our Work Sample Narratives. If so, will the Government provide additional page count to include the pages from each PWS?	Understanding	L. 4.4.1	N/A	The requirement of "only relevant pages of documents" applies to the submission of work samples in which there is no limit on the number of pages that can be submitted with each work sample. The Work Sample Narratives explain "what aspects of the contract/order is deemed relevant to the proposed effort, which evaluation criteria in the HTRO Self-Scoring Matrix is supported, and to what aspects of the proposed effort they relate. " as depicted in Section L 4.4.3. Work Sample Narratives are limited to two (2) pages, but page limit can be exceeded if multiple evaluation criteria are supported.
133	(1) Is CDRL A001 the Contractor's CFT SOP or Small Business Subcontractor Report? (2) Per PWS 6.2.2, "CDRL A002 not required from Small Business Contractors." If we are proposing as a SB, how are we supposed to describe how we are to comply with something we are not required to submit? (3) Recommend the Government drop the requirement for SB Offerors to describe how they will submit a CDRL they are not required to submit.	Section L, 4.4.4 requires the Offeror to "...describe how the offeror will comply with CDRL A001 Small Business Subcontractor Report."	L, 4.4.4	6.2.2	The Government will take this request into consideration before the final RFP release.
134	What is the Government's definition of a "commercial customer"? Does it include when a company is a subcontractor to another company providing services on a Government Contract?	Commercial Customers.	L, 5.1.2	N/A	Commercial customers in this instance refer to non-Government contracts in which the offeror is providing supplies/services to customers in a commercial setting.
135	Are Representations and Certifications required for subcontractors/team members or only for the Prime Contractor?	Representations and Certifications.	L, 6.2.4	N/A	This requirement applies to the Offeror submitting the proposal.
136	Where in the proposal do we put the information asked for in Section L, 6.3.3?	Placement of information in proposal	L, 6.3.3	N/A	Please refer to Section L Table 2.2.2.1, Volume IV, 6.3 "Other Information Required".

137	<p>The last sentence in 6.3.4 states "List all locations where work is to be performed and indicate whether such facility is a division, affiliate or subcontractor, and percentage of work to be performed at each location."</p> <p>Performance is worldwide, based on winning task orders. This section does not make any sense it the context of the requirement. Language appears to be relevant to the manufacture of hardware, not the delivery of LASR services on a worldwide basis.</p> <p>Recommend removal or revision of the paragraph and identification of where in the proposal the Government wants the information in the first sentence.</p>	Paragrph not consistent with Contract requirements.	L, 6.3.4	N/A	<p>The Government will take this request into consideration before the the final RFP release.</p>
138	<p>The screen shoot for "Set up Business Relationships"and paragrapgh 10 require the entry of% of Work and location. How are we to provide that information as workshare and location are dependent on each task order award?</p>	PPI for Offerors.	L, ITO Attachment 1.1, Paragraphs 8 and 10.	N/A	<p>Section L ITO Paragraph 8 and 10 provide step by step instructions on how to provide information to Set up Business Relationships in the PPI Tool. Paragraph 8 instructs offerors to create a business relationship, if applicable, for each business entity before proceeding throughout the PPI Tool. Paragraph 8 further instructs offerors to identify all prime and sub-prime organizations and categorize them according to the appropriate role in the proposed acquisition. The screenshot provided in Paragraph 9 states "Enter the information for the proposed acquisition for the contractor who will be filling out the Past Performance Sheets." (NOTE: For this acquisition, the Past Performance Sheets are the Work Samples and Relevant Work Sample Narratives as part of Section L Technical 4.4.2 & 4.4.3 - which are related to the Offeror's relevant work for the HTRO Self-Score Matrix. See Figure 9b for how to reference the Work Samples and Relevant Work Sample Narratives for Program Details Tab in the PPI Tool). The screenshot in Paragraph 9 also describes this form will allow an offeror to add in incomplete record, but all fields marked with an asterisk (*) are required for final submission. In addition, Paragraph 10 describes Offerors to complete the fields as follows and further describes the fields marked on the screen with an asterisk '*' are required fields. Please see paragraphs 8, 9 and 10 for full details.</p>
139	<p>Section L requires proposals to be submitted in Ariel 12 Font and 1.5 spacing. The PPI Tool is required to generate "pages" for the past performance volume, as specified in ITO Attachment 1.1.</p> <p>Questions: (1) Will the PPI Tool generate the required "pages" in the Font and spacing required in the solicitation? (2) If the PPI Tool will not generate pages that comply with font and spacing requirements, please advise how we are to comply with font and spacing requirements.</p>	Proposal Formating Compliance.	L, ITO Attachment 1.1, Page 24, Paragraph 4	N/A	<p>The Government confirms Section L paragraphs 2.3.1 and 2.3.2 to be accurate for Page Size and Format. The Offeror shall prepare its proposal as set forth in the Proposal Organization Table (Table 2.2.2.1). The titles and contents of the volumes shall be within the required page limits as defined in Table 2.2.2.1.</p> <p>In addition, the Government confirms offerors are to comply with Section L Attachment 1.1 instructions for submitting an electronic copy of the saved PPI database file with the offeror's proposal. Offerors shall comply with Attachment 1.1 for all details regarding the PPI Tool. The Government has reviewed the Attachment 1.1 and confirms it does not provide specifics for font and size requirements for the generated information in the PPI Tool. Therefore, the Government clarifies that Section L paragraphs 2.3.1 and 2.3.2 are not applicable for the generated information provided within the electronic copy of an offerors submitted PPI database.</p>
140	<p>The requirement for transition past performance of a single contract/task order over 100 FTE is extremely restrictive and favors incumbent contractors on CFT-Large, where the majority of these types of programs are supported.</p> <p>Will Government reconsider the Past Performance requirement to permit companies to reference multiple task orders where offeror performed multiple 30-day transitions simultaneously. (Example: 3 Task Orders, all 30-day transitions, performed concurrently. Total FTE: 160)</p>	Evaluation Criteria #6; Max # of FTEs "transitioned" within 30 days within last 5 years CONUS	Attachment 6 - HTRO Self Scoring Matrix	n/a	<p>The HTRO matrix indicates maximum FTEs on a 30 day period regardless of number of task orders. The Government will allow flexibility on the number of work samples to deomstrate the number of FTEs.</p>

141	The requirement for transition past performance of a single contract/task order over 100 FTE is extremely restrictive and favors incumbent contractors on CFT-Large, where the majority of these types of programs are supported. Will Government reconsider the FTE thresholds? Offeror recommends >200 / 199-170 / 169-140 / 139-100 / 75-99.	Evaluation Criteria #6; Max # of FTEs "transitioned" within 30 days within last 5 years CONUS	Attachment 6 - HTRO Self Scoring Matrix	n/a	The Government does not anticipate adjusting the FTE thresholds for this requirement as they are consistent with possible task order requirements within the Full and Open Pool. Requirements with 99 FTEs would be set-aside for the Small Business Pool.
142	Would the government consider revising the requirement to allow Small Business contractors to obtain an AS9100D Certification within a certain time frame, i.e., within 4 months after contract award?	AS9100D Certification	Section L, para 4.3	N/A	The Government does not anticipate revising the requirement for AS9100D certification. Certification must be provided at proposal submission.
143	Consider the following scenario: We are a Prime Contractor with multiple Task Orders (TOs) of the same size/scope issued under a Single Award Indefinite Delivery Indefinite Quantity (IDIQ) contract. An individual Performance Work Statement (PWS) is not issued for each TO; instead, each TO references the Master IDIQ contract PWS. Does the government see any issue with us using multiple Task Order numbers under the same IDIQ as separate reference projects?	Work Samples	Section L, 4.4.2 Work Samples	N/A	No, many of the Evaluation Criteria in the HTRO Self-Scoring Matrix allows for "TOs". Example: Evaluation Criteria 9: "# of DoD/Non-DoD/Commercial Contracts/TO's.....". Please refer to Section L 4.4.2 and 4.4.3.
144	Can multiple Task Orders underneath the same IDIQ count as multiple work samples for the same relevancy criteria, since the same PWS/SOW is used for each? For instance, if we performed direct Fixed wing scheduled & unscheduled 1-2 engine aircraft maintenance on five task orders underneath the same IDIQ contract, could we use each of those to obtain maximum scoring for "direct Fixed wing scheduled & unscheduled 1-2 engine aircraft maintenance" experience on the HRT0 Scoring Matrix?	HTRO Self-Scoring Matrix	Section L, 4.4.1. Subfactor 1	N/A	Yes as many of the Evaluation Criteria in the HTRO Self-Scoring Matrix allows for "TOs". Example: Evaluation Criteria 9: "# of DoD/Non-DoD/Commercial Contracts/TO's.....". Please refer to Section L 4.4.2 and 4.4.3.
145	Will the government request copies of PWS/SOW to validate relevancy? Or does the evaluation solely rely on the offeror's provided narrative?	Relevant Work Sample	Section L, 4.4.3.	N/A	Work samples submitted can consist of contracts/TO's and accompanying attachments such as Performance Work Statements, Statements of Work, Contact Data Requirements Lists, etc that demonstrate technical experience whereas Work Sample Narratives explain how aspects of the work sample are relevant to specific elements in the HTRO Scoring Matrix. Please refer to Section L 4.4.2, "Relevant work sample narratives need to explain how aspects of the work sample are relevant to specific elements in the HTRO Scoring Matrix".
146	Section M paragraph 2.4.1. indicates "if any part of the performance falls within the recency timeframe, the contract in its entirety may be evaluated for past performance". Section L 4.4.2. indicates a work sample must have been performed for at least six months with six months taking place within the last five (5) years." This contradicts the information presented in the pre-proposal conference slides. Is the 6-month minimum duration requirement still applicable?	Work Samples / Recency	Section M.2.4., Section L.4.4.2.	N/A	The Government intends for the Work Sample must have been performed for at least six (6) months. Any part of performance can take place within the last five (5) years. Any ambiguities within Section M and L will be corrected before final RFP release.

147	Section L 4.4.2. states "An acceptable work sample is defined as either a contract, or a task order under a master IDIQ contract (FAR 16.501-1), on which the Offeror performed for at least six months with those six months taking place within the last five (5) years of the release date of the LASR solicitation. A Multiple Award IDIQ or a Single Award IDIQ contract by itself is not an acceptable work sample." If the 6-month minimum duration requirement is applicable, would the government consider it acceptable to use a Task Order with a period of performance less than 6 months as a	Work Samples / Recency	Section L.4.4.2.	N/A	The Government considers the 6 months minimum requirement as an appropriate period. Any ambiguities will be corrected before the final RFP release.
148	Define direct Drone/unmanned piloted scheduled & unscheduled aircraft maintenance.	HTRO Matrix	Section L, Factor 2, HTRO Self Scoring Matrix	N/A	The Government is indicating the work done must be directly cited drone or UAV scheduled or unscheduled maintenance, not a ancillaiary effort.
149	Could you please elaborate on the Hot Topics discussed during the pre-proposal conference on Slide 37? We couldn't attend the conference, so these points lack context. To ensure a thorough understanding of the requirement, could you provide additional information for these topics, particularly regarding AS9100D, HRTO, emerging workloads, and MTC?	Pre-Proposal Conference	N/A	N/A	The Government stressed the importance of holding an AS9100D certification as the first gate before moving to the HTRO matrix. The HTRO matrix was geared to the most cirital CFT work load, and yet wanted to provide vendors the opportunity to show other skills such as Low Observable coating, UAV as emerging workloads. These emergin workloads are scored but carry less weight since the amount of this work is still unknown. The MTC related to how the MTC is accounted for and requirement to backfill regardless of the reason for any vacancies
150	Please define the magnitude of the CFT LASR effort.	Relevancy Assessment/ Definition	Section M. 2.4.2., Table 2.4.2.1.	N/A	Section L, paragraph 2.4.2 states in part "For the work samples provided IAW Section L paragraphs 4.5 and 4.5.1, the Government's relevancy assessment will be included within the Government's validation process of an offeror's HTRO Self-Scoring Matrix IAW Section M paragraph 2.3. This solicitation requires for the work samples provided IAW Section L paragraphs 4.5 and 4.5.1, in which the offeror's self-score can be validated by the Government IAW Section M 2.3, the Offeror's work sample/effort will also be determined "Relevant" in regard to Factor 3, Past Performance. However, for the work samples provided IAW Section L paragraphs 4.5 and 4.5.1, in which the offeror's self-score cannot be validated by the Government IAW Section M 2.3, the Offeror's work sample will be determined "Not Relevant" in regard to Factor 3, Past Performance." Scope, magnitude and complexity are only cited in the rating definitions for Relevant and Not Relevant. Therefore, the relevancy evaluation is not based on a separate scope, magnitude or complexity criteria. It is based on what is described in the HTRO Self-Score Matrix. The ratings/definitions as provided in Table 2.4.2.1 derive from the DoD Source Selection Procedures. The Government does not have different relevancy definitions available for use on HTRO source selections from more traditional trade-off source selections. The Government's criteria for work samples is reflected in the HTRO Self-Score Matrix.
151	Please define the complexity of the CFT LASR effort. Please indicate how the government will score complexity for IDIQ Task Order work samples.	Relevancy Assessment/ Definition	Section M. 2.4.2., Table 2.4.2.1.	N/A	Section L, paragraph 2.4.2 states in part "For the work samples provided IAW Section L paragraphs 4.5 and 4.5.1, the Government's relevancy assessment will be included within the Government's validation process of an offeror's HTRO Self-Scoring Matrix IAW Section M paragraph 2.3. This solicitation requires for the work samples provided IAW Section L paragraphs 4.5 and 4.5.1, in which the offeror's self-score can be validated by the Government IAW Section M 2.3, the Offeror's work sample/effort will also be determined "Relevant" in regard to Factor 3, Past Performance. However, for the work samples provided IAW Section L paragraphs 4.5 and 4.5.1, in which the offeror's self-score cannot be validated by the Government IAW Section M 2.3, the Offeror's work sample will be determined "Not Relevant" in regard to Factor 3, Past Performance." Scope, magnitude and complexity are only cited in the rating definitions for Relevant and Not Relevant. Therefore, the relevancy evaluation is not based on a separate scope, magnitude or complexity criteria. It is based on what is described in the HTRO Self-Score Matrix. The ratings/definitions as provided in Table 2.4.2.1 derive from the DoD Source Selection Procedures. The Government does not have different relevancy definitions available for use on HTRO source selections from more traditional trade-off source selections. The Government's criteria for work samples is reflected in the HTRO Self-Score Matrix.

152	The Attachment 4 Instructions to Offerors, Section L.4.3 states, "The Offeror's proposal will first be evaluated by their ability to meet an initial technical standard by providing a current AS9100D certification as a prime contractor. This is a prerequisite for HTRO scoring validation." In order to maximize Small Business (SB) participation within the SB Pool that are in the process of obtaining an AS 9100 certification, would the Government consider making the AS 9100D certification a requirement at the time of award?	AS 9100D Certification	RFP Section L., 4.3, Factor 1		The Government does not anticipate revising the requirement for AS9100D certification. Certification must be provided at proposal submission.
153	The Attachment 4 Instructions to Offerors, Section L.4.3 states, "The Offeror's proposal will first be evaluated by their ability to meet an initial technical standard by providing a current AS9100D certification as a prime contractor. This is a prerequisite for HTRO scoring validation." Given that this is a Multiple Award Indefinite Delivery Indefinite Quantity (IDIQ) with a protracted timeline leading up to individual awards, and to maximize Small Business (SB) competition within the SB Pool that are in the process of obtaining an AS 9100 certification, would the Government consider accepting a letter of compliance at the time of proposal submission, certifying that the offeror is working toward a AS9100D certification?	AS 9100D Certification	RFP Section L., 4.3, Factor 1		The Government does not anticipate revising the requirement for AS9100D certification. Certification must be provided at proposal submission.
154	The Government's Attachment 6 HTRO Self Scoring Matrix and criteria does not appear to encourage a diversity of participation as well as broader scope of relevant project experience from new Small Businesses for the following reasons. Four (4) of the matrix lines provide a maximum weight of five (5) and maximum score of 5000 points for offerors that have aircraft engine and or aircraft maintenance experience while the majority of non-aviation criteria have a weight between 1-3 and maximum points of 1000-3000. In addition, there were sixty-four (64) CFT labor skills briefed to Industry during the virtual pre-solicitation conference. Only eight (8) of sixty-four (64) labor skill sets or 20% were aviation specific. This also implies an imbalance of scoring criteria on the HTRO Self Scoring Matrix in comparison to the broader, non-aviation required skills for contracted labor across the CFT CONUS / OCONUS Task Order locations. Would the Government consider reducing the aviation criteria weights and maximum points and or taking a more balanced	HTRO Self Scoring Matrix	RFP Section L., 4.4 - 4.4.3 and, Attachment 6		The Government believes the mix of weighting is appropriate at this time however, weighting may be revised before the final RFP release. The vast majority of CFT work is in aviation, and the criticality of aviation work vs non-aviations work is accurately depicted by the weight assigned.

155	The Government's Attachment 6 HTRO Self Scoring Matrix and criteria does not appear to encourage a diversity of participation from new (non-incumbent) Small Businesses as well as broader scope of relevant project experience from new Small Businesses. Many small businesses, with the skill sets to meet emerging workloads such as Drone, UAV, Low Observables and specialty coating do not have numerous or overwhelming Past Performance in these areas, specifically as a Prime contractor, since much of this work are subcategories within larger contracts. Would the Government consider doubling the points for SB in specific categories?	HTRO Self Scoring Matrix	RFP Section L., 4.4 - 4.4.3 and, Attachment 7		The Government does not intend to change the points or weighting at this time, however points/weighting may be revised before the final RFP release. This criteria has a reduced weighting factor for these criteria based on being new and emerging workloads, but yet provides a scoring for those Contractors who have experience in these areas.
156	The Government's Attachment 6 HTRO Self Scoring Matrix and criteria does not give credit for Small Business infrastructure development, which is importation to support such a large contract. This is an opportunity for the government to recognize, encourage and prioritize small businesses to develop supporting infrastructure, like it does with security clearances. Will the government provide credit to Small Businesses that have additional qualifications or approved systems. Examples of this may include ISO certifications beyond AS 9100 (ISO 9001:2015 (Quality), 28001:2007 (Supply Chain), 22301 (Business Continuity) or 27001:2013 (Information Security)), an audited accounting system, annualized dollar value of prime contracts i.e. points for # contracts>\$4m (requires program management, cost accounting, payroll, recruiting, etc...) or FTE value (>20 FTE)?	HTRO Self Scoring Matrix	RFP Section L., 4.4 - 4.4.3 and, Attachment 8		The Government believes it has the proper focus for the scope of the CFT LASR IDIQ, The CFT purpose is support to warfighter not business development. The Quality standard selected AS9100D is the standard for our most critical task orders, Aviation support.
157	In order to be complaint with Factor 1 must the Prime have the AS9100D Quality Cetification, or can the prime use an AS9100D certificate from a sister company?	Clarification of Ccompliance to Factor 1 AS9100D Certification	Section L, Table 2.2.2.1. Proposal Organization; 4.2.1 Volume Organization; 4.3 Factor 1 – AS9100D Certification; ITO attachment 2.2 Cross Reference Matrix	4.6 Quality	The Prime must hold the certification
158	For # 5 on the HTRO form; Max # of FTEs "transitioned" within 90 days (Prime Only) within last 5 years OCONUS (SMALL BUSINESS); Does the Government consider the FTE's that are on the OCONUS contract that are working OCONUS and stateside part of the count of FTE's?	Section L.1.0, L.4.4; HTRO Self Scoring Matrix	Section L.1.0, L.4.4; Attachment_6_HTRO Self Scoring Matrix		The Government will accept ONLY those OCONUSs employees working on a single Task Order with both OCONUS and CONUS requirements as a part of the OCONUS FTE requirement.
159	Please share the list of attendees so that possible teaming can occur.	General	All	N/A	A list of attendees has been provided as a tab below to this worksheet. Please see "List of Attendees".
160	Section L, paragraph 2.0.4 states the proposal validity is for 365 days and Section L, paragraph 3.2 states 270 days. Can the Government please clarify whether the proposal validity is 270 days or 365 days?	Proposal Validity	Section L, paragraph 2.0.4, page 2 and Section L, paragraph 3.2, page 8		The Government confirms that proposal validity date is currently 365 days. Any references to 270 days will be removed before the final RFP release.

161	Section L, paragraph 5.1.1 does not specify how many past performance references are required. In Volume II a contractor could provide up to 71 work samples if they provide one for every number requested in Column C. Is the expectation that each contractor provide the work sample in the PPI tool and complete a PPQ for every sample provided in Volume II?	Volume III Past Performance	Section L, paragraph 5.1, page 10-11		<p>Section L, Table 2.2.2.1 describes the Work Samples provided are "Not to Exceed Number in Column C of HTRO Self- Scoring Matrix for Evaluation Criteria." Within the HTRO Self-Scoring Matrix, the column titled "Number of Work Samples to Demonstrate Maximum Score" provides a set number of work samples per evaluation criteria. Section L, paragraph 4.4.2 Work Samples goes on to further describe in part "The Offerors shall submit work samples (contracts/orders) which demonstrate their past technical experience in the required elements listed within the HTRO Scoring Matrix. Offerors are limited to the number of work samples permitted for each work criteria to demonstrate the maximum score. Work samples demonstrating technical experience for multiple required elements in the HTRO Scoring Matrix are only required to be submitted once." Therefore, based on the number of Work Samples an Offeror may provide it is proposal, keeping in mind the limitation as described in the HTRO Self-Scoring Matrix and 4.2.2, Offerors shall follow Section L paragraph 5.2.1 which states "5.2.1 As soon as practicable, offerors shall complete Section 1 of the attached Past Performance Questionnaire (Attachment 1.2) and e-mail it and the Performance Questionnaire Letter (Attachment 1.3) to all points of contacts (POCs) the offeror has listed in the Past Performance Information Form (PIIF) (Attachment 1.1)." The POCs described in Attachment 1.1 are as follows "For government contracts provide current information on Program Manager, Contracting Officer, and Admin POC, if available. For commercial contracts provide points of contact fulfilling these same roles, if available."</p> <p>It is the Government's expectation that offerors follow Attachment 1.1 instructions for each work sample provided as part of Volume II Section L paragraphs 4.4.2 and 4.4.3. It is also the Government's expectation that Offerors comply with Section L paragraph 5.2.1 as described above.</p>
162	This question applies to line 4-7 on the HTRO matrix. Will the Government clarify if this is for new work or any contract transition? "Max # of FTEs "transitioned" within 30 days (Prime Only) within last 5 years CONUS"	HTRO Clarification	HTRO Matrix #4-7		The Government will accept the Max# of FTEs for any effort. Not limited to "new work".
163	This question applies to lines 4-7 on the HTRO matrix. Will the Government clarify if this applies to DoD/Non-DoD/Commercial Contracts/TOs? "Max # of FTEs "transitioned" within 30 days (Prime Only) within last 5 years CONUS"	HTRO Clarification	HTRO Matrix #4-7		The Government will consider the Max# of FTE regardless of Contractor's customer: DoD, Non-DOD or Commercial contracts. The intent is to have demonstrated the ability to fill a manpower requirements in a timely manner
164	Will the Government consider adding a column in Attachment 6 HTRO Self Scoring Matrix to identify the category numbers? Adding the category numbers will enable Offerors to better track and align their responses to the specific categories.	Aligning category numbers	Attachment 6 HTRO Self Scoring Matrix	N/A	There are currently 21 evaluation criteria and each criteria has an associated category number as indicated by Column A in the HTRO Self-Scoring Matrix.
165	Per section 2.5 Distribution, Offerors are required to notify both Ryan McCabe (CO) and Marchus Haberichter (Program Manager) by email that files have been uploaded and provide a copy of the DoD SAFE completed upload confirmation screen shot. Please provide an email address for Marchus Haberichter.	Distribution and submission of proposal documents to the Governmet	Section 2.5 Distribution of Section L	N/A	Please refer to the latest version of Section L posted with Draft RFP2 on 28 February 2024 for the latest instructions to paragraph 2.5. This requirement may change before final RFP release.
166	Do you think industry will see a Final RFP before 1 June?				The Government anticipates a June/July RFP release.

Company	Cage
Dynamic Aviation Group, Inc.	3C708
Vector Solutions	7H4F5
Vali, Inc.	1YG87
V2X	1P066
Global Logistics Support Services Inc.	6VMQ5
Strategic Technology Institute, Inc.	0GB12
M1 Support Services	3KXK1
M2 Services Corporation (Sunrise Beach Corporation)	4KNF9
Jacobs	7EBK3
Kay and Associates, Inc.	9B116
Patriot Group International	47JA1
AAR Government Services, Inc.	814N0
SkyQuest Aviation LLC	7J8Q1
4M HR Logisitics	6HB33
Deleware Resource Group of Oklahoma, LLC	3BH88
Amentum Services, Inc.	5W37V
Crew Training International, Inc.	046D1
Axxeum Inc.	84JX8
Loyal Source Government Services	5JN54
F3 Solutions, LLC	5YZG6
Tyonek Technical Services, LLC	7GWV7
Aery Aviation, LLC	7WAX4
Solution One Industries	3VPK1
KIHOMAC Inc.	3L2L3
Affordable Engineering Services, Inc. (AES)	6UX43
Atec, Inc.	09952
S&K Federal Services	819D5
Strategic Support Solutions	75M76
Stevens Aerospace and Defense Systems	7Z4D1
Barbaricum LLC	55EW9
Akima Logistic Services	41VK0
Adams Communication & Engineering Technology (ACET)	1UN08
PowerHouse Resources International LLC	6A8J9